**OCTOBER CALENDAR OF EVENTS**

**Wednesday, October 1**
Boulder Interdisciplinary Committee
To Talk or Not To Talk - That is the Question. Communication Guidelines Between Lawyers and Evaluators
Presenters: Shirley Thomas, PhD & Todd Stahly, Esq.
11:30 @ Millenium Harvest House
Register at Boulderidc.org

**Tuesday, October 7**
SOLO/SMALL FIRM
Bankruptcy 101
Presenter: Barry Satlow
Noon @ Faegre Baker Daniels
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyers, $12 Lunch

**Wednesday, October 8**
SOLO/SMALL FIRM
Monthly Happy Hour
5 PM@ Conor O’Neills (1922 13th St)

**Thursday, October 9**
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
Overview of Intellectual Property Law
Presenter: Kirstin Jahn
Noon @ Hutchison Black & Cook
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyer, $12 Lunch

**Friday, October 10**
Availability of Legal Services
Monthly Roundtable
Noon @ BCLS Office
Brown Bag Lunch

**Tuesday, October 14**
EMPLOYMENT
Whistleblower Protections Under Sarbanes-Oxley and Dodd-Frank: Requirements, Remedies and Riddles
Presenter: Roger Bock
Noon @ Caplan & Earnest
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyers, $12 Lunch

**Wednesday, October 15**
FAMILY
View From The Bench
Presenters: Judges LaBuda, Mulvahill, Langer, and Magistrate Brodsky
Noon @ Jury Assembly Room
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyers, Brown Bag Lunch

**Thursday, October 16**
BANKRUPTCY
Monthly Roundtable Noon @ Agave

**Tuesday, October 21**
BUSINESS
Legal and Practical Issues Facing Start-Ups
Presenter: TBD
Noon @ Packard Dierking
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyers, $12 Lunch

**Friday, October 22**
ELDER
What Your Clients Wish You Knew About Medicare
Presenter: Alice Jerle
Noon @ Hutchison Black & Cook
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyers, $12 Lunch

**Wednesday, October 22**
TAX, ESTATE PLANNING AND PROBATE
The Conversation Project: Encouraging Value Discussions as Part of Advance Care Planning
Presenters: Grant Marylander & Jean Abbott
Noon @ Hutchison Black & Cook
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyers, $12 Lunch

**Thursday, October 23**
NATURAL RESOURCES/ENVIRONMENTAL
Summary of the New Proposed Federal Clean Power Plan Rule
Presenter: Vickie Patton
Noon @ Bryan Cave
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyers, $12 Lunch

**Thursday, October 23**
PARALEGAL
Tips for a Successful Adoption
Presenter: Laura Moore
Noon @ Dietze & Davis
$25 CLE, $15 New/Young Lawyers, $12 Lunch

**Thursday, October 23**
Longmont Lawyer’s Happy Hour
5:30 PM @ Oskar Blues (1555 S. Hover St.)

**Friday, October 24**
IMMIGRATION
Monthly Roundtable
8:30 AM @ Broadway Suites

**Thursday, October 30**
BENCH/BAR RETREAT
12:30 pm – 5 pm @ Wittemyer Courtroom
$125 CLE, $90 New/Young Lawyers.
4 Ethics Credits

**Thursday, October 30**
JUDGES DINNER
5:30 pm @ Wedgewood Events Center (Formerly the Red Lion)
$60 Dinner. Discount pricing of $50 for the dinner available when attending both the Bench/Bar and the Judges Dinner.
Reservations should be made at the bar website: www.boulder-bar.org/calendar

---

**Coal Creek Open Space Flood Restoration**
SATURDAY - October 11, 2014 (8:30am-4pm)

Enjoy a crisp autumn day outdoors in Lafayette healing the land from 2013 flood damage.

Volunteer space is limited, so sign up today!

For information or to sign up, contact:
Gabriella Stockmayer - gstockmayer@dietzedavis.com
THURSDAY, OCTOBER 30
2014 BCBA BENCH BAR RETREAT
CU LAW SCHOOL - WITTEMYSER COURTROOM - 4 ETHICS CLE

BCBA Seniors members - $125  BCBA Junior Members - $90  Non-members $150

OPENING REMARKS 12:30 PM
Introductions: Chief Judge Maria Berkenkotter, 20th JD and Star Waring, Boulder County Bar President

Opening Remarks by Charles Garcia, Colorado Bar Association President

12:45-1:30 45 MINUTE PANEL DISCUSSION
“Emerging Issues in Marijuana and the Law” Moderator: Charles Garcia, CBA President
Effect on prosecutions – Boulder DA Adrian Van Nice
Parenting time and marijuana – Judge LaBuda
Real estate and landlord/tenant issue – Judson Hite
Marijuana businesses – Matthew Machado
Regulatory and Employment Issues – Craig Small
Attorney General’s Representative
Bench Bar Communication - Judge Butler

Break 1:30 - 1:45
Breakout Sessions 1:45-2:45

Criminal Issues- Judge Butler - moderator (Wittemyer Courtroom)
E-filing – Debbie Crosser, Clerk of the Court
Jail update – Commander Bruce Haas, BCSO
New Rule 5 Advisement - Judge Sierra
Immigration advisement – Judge Sierra
New jury instructions – Zak Malkinson
New Bond Statute - Megan Ring, Public Defender
Boulder DA Ken Kupfner

Domestic Issues - Magistrate Brodsky, Moderator (ROOM 202)
Maintenance - Michael Morphew
Same-Sex Marriage - Lila Sol
Pro Se Litigants - Monica Haenselman
Bench Bar Relations - Mag. Brodsky
ICCES Issues - Debbie Crosser
Judge Rotation - Judge Langer

Civil Issues Judge LaBuda Moderator (ROOM 330)
Discovery - Mag. Gunning
Debt Collection - Joel Hayes
Small Claims Mediation
Pro Se Litigants
Judicial Rotation - Judge LaBuda
Bench Bar Communication - Judge Mallard
ICCES Crosser

General Session -- "Lightning Bolt" Round 3 - 4PM
Access to Justice – Bruce Weiner
Top Ten Things Attorneys Want Judges to Know – Brad Hall
Top Ten Things Judges Want Attorneys to Know – Judge Glowinsky
Effectiveness of mediation requirement – Steve Meyrich
Modification of Civil and Criminal Protection Orders – Judge Sierra

4:00 PM
Professionalism and Civility – Judge Richard Gabriel, Colorado Court of Appeals

JUDGES DINNER FOLLOWING at Wedgewood Event Center (former Red Lion Inn) $60 per person
If attending BOTH the Retreat and Judges Dinner cost is as follows: Senior members $175  •  Junior Members $140
The discovery motions pilot project initiated by the 20th Judicial District has reduced the number of discovery disputes requiring Court involvement, and has substantially decreased the time it takes the Court to issue rulings on discovery disputes. The project has enhanced professionalism and fostered a culture of interactive conferral among counsel. Due to the project’s success, the Court intends to expand a modified version to all District Court civil cases in the coming months.

Approximately one year ago, the 20th Judicial District initiated a pilot project in an effort to more efficiently resolve discovery disputes. This pilot project covers District Court personal injury actions. Under the pilot project, when a discovery dispute arises, counsel are required to interactively confer with opposing counsel about each dispute, or make a reasonable good faith effort to do so. Interactive conferral requires an in-person meeting or a telephone call; email communication alone is insufficient. If the dispute is unresolved despite good faith interactive conferral, in lieu of filing written motions, counsel contact my division (Division T), to set the dispute for a prompt hearing. In most instances, a ruling is issued at the hearing. In some cases, the matter is taken under advisement, and a written ruling follows the hearing. This generally occurs when the Court either requests succinct briefing on discrete issues or needs to conduct in camera review of documents.

The “no written discovery motion” project is not unique to the 20th Judicial District. Before we employed it, the technique was successfully used by Judges in the 2nd (Denver) and 4th (El Paso) Judicial Districts, and by several U.S. District Court of Colorado Judicial Officers. We initiated the project with the following goals in mind:

(1) Reduce the time it takes the Court to resolve discovery disputes;
(2) Reduce the number of discovery disputes that require Court involvement;
(3) Reduce combative behavior and enhance professionalism and a culture of interactive conferral among counsel.

Since its inception about a year ago, the project has largely met its goals. In some respects, the project has exceeded expectations. In particular:

- The resolution time for discovery disputes has decreased dramatically. Prior to the project, the Court was averaging about 8 weeks (56 days) to issue rulings on written discovery motions, measured from the date the motion was filed. In cases within the project, the average time for ruling has fallen to 2-3 weeks (17 days average), measured from the date Division T is contacted regarding the dispute. The project also has had the collateral benefit of reducing the resolution period for discovery disputes that are not within the project from 8 weeks to about 6 weeks. This is due to the time savings achieved through the project, enabling the Court to turn to written discovery motions within a week or two of the date they are fully briefed.
- Although it is somewhat difficult to measure, I estimate the number of discovery disputes requiring Court involvement in personal injury actions has fallen about 20%.

(continued on next page)
• Counsel who have participated in the project are better able to assess whether the project has reduced “distant courage” (practice of saying something uncivil in a pleading or email that would not be said face to face) and enhanced professionalism. I believe the project has generally met this goal, and with rare exception, counsel treat each other with respect, civility and courtesy in the courtroom. From my perspective, the level of professionalism is generally markedly higher in the courtroom than in discovery briefing and emails.

• In many cases, I believe the project has led to more informed decision-making. It allows the Court to ask clarification questions of counsel and better understand both the factual and legal issues.

• It appears that the project has been well received. Anecdotally, several counsel in non-personal injury actions have requested to opt-in to the project.

Going forward, the Court plans to expand a modified version of the project to all District Court civil cases. Within the next few months, the District intends to issue an amended Administrative Order and begin issuing revised Pre-Trial Orders outlining the discovery dispute resolution procedure. I anticipate that the pilot project procedure will be modified to permit parties to file a concise document (2 pages or less) before the hearing. The document will identify the discovery issues in dispute (without argument) and may include citations to pertinent legal authority. We will also clarify that exhibits may be filed electronically prior to the hearing.

In short, the discovery pilot project initiated last summer has succeeded in reducing the number of discovery disputes requiring Court involvement, and has decreased the time it takes the Court to issue rulings on discovery disputes. From my perspective, the project has enhanced professionalism and fostered a culture of interactive conferal among counsel. Due to this success, the Court intends to expand a modified version to all District Court civil cases in the coming months.

I plan to discuss these issues at the Bench-Bar Retreat (Civil Session) on October 30.

FOOTNOTES
1. The project is described in 20th Judicial District Administrative Order 13-101, available on the Court’s website.
2. For an insightful article regarding the varied use of the technique, and its many benefits, see Richard P. Holme, “No Written Discovery Motions” Technique Reduces Delays, Costs, and Judges Workloads,” Colorado Lawyer, Vol. 42, No. 3, March 2013, pp. 65-68. This 8 week estimate includes the presumptive 4 week period (21 day response/7 day reply periods) for a motion to become ripe.
3. This has been particularly beneficial in cases involving discovery scope objections, in which the trial court is tasked with ensuring that discovery is proportional and tailored to the needs of the case. See DCP Midstream, LLP v. Anadarko Petroleum Corp., 303 P.3d 1187, 2013 CO 36.
SHELLY D. MERRITT

Has Been Selected For Inclusion in The Best Lawyers in America® 2015 in the practice area of Trusts & Estates

Her Practice Emphasizes Estate Planning, International Succession Planning, Probate, Trust And Small Business Law

S.D. MERRITT & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
1526 Spruce St., Suite 200
Boulder, CO 80302
Tel: (303) 413-3477
Fax: (303) 928-2350
shelly@sdmerrittlaw.com
www.sdmerrittlaw.com

Special thank you and acknowledgement to those volunteer attorneys who helped at the first September

BOULDER FREE LEGAL CLINIC

Paul Bierbaum, Laurel Herndon, Jennifer Terry, James Lamb, Dipak Patel, Angela Cifor, Mairi Maloney, Lila Sol, Evan Branigan, Anne Bensard, Stuart Mann, Conrad Lattes, James Hassan, Nancy Miller, Carmen Danielson, Matt James, Meghan Hungate, Ruth Irvin, Rich Irvin, Jerry Sloat, Bruce Wiener, Karen Burns, Sharin Chahal, Michelle Haynes, Dan Kramer

Thanks to coordinating team: Christine Fleetwood, Monica Haenselman, Amy Waddle, Magistrate Brodsky, and Christine Hylbert

Lyons Gaddis Kahn Hall Jeffers Dworak & Grant

is excited to announce the opening of our new Louisville office located at 363 Centennial Parkway, Suite 110, 720-726-3670.

This is the primary office of attorneys Steve Jeffers, Matthew Machado and Madoline Wallace-Gross.

The firm also welcomes Elizabeth M. Joyce to the Water Practice Group as an Associate Attorney.

Main Office:
515 Kimbark Street • Second Floor
PO Box 978 • Longmont CO 80502
303-776-9900 • Fax 303-776-9100
www.lgkhlaw.com

STEVEN MEYRICH

ARBITRATION & MEDIATION SERVICES

Is honored to announce his selection as The Best Lawyers in America’s 2014-15 Denver Arbitration “Lawyer of the Year”

And his inclusion in Best Lawyers in America® 2007 through 2015 in the practice areas of Arbitration, Mediation and Family Law Mediation

4845 Pearl East Circle, Suite 101
Boulder, CO 80301

www.stevenmeyrich.com

Telephone: 303-440-8238
Email: stevenmeyrich@me.com
Name and Area of Practice:
Cynthia T. Kennedy ("Cyndi")

My practice is a general commercial litigation practice with emphasis in the area of bankruptcy. I also do consumer Chapter 7 and 13 bankruptcies as well as Chapter 11 reorganizations.

I went to C.U. undergrad (Communications & Theater), attended Marshall-Wythe Law School (William & Mary) in Virginia for two years before returning home to get my J.D. from C.U. (1981)

My membership in the Boulder County Bar has helped keep me stay connected to my community. My practice in bankruptcy limits my interactions significantly and keeps me in Denver. My litigation practice is often times in other jurisdictions. I rely on Bar functions to keep me informed and in touch with the local legal community.

When not practicing law, I garden, can picante sauce (at this time of year), read, write, and play my guitar.

Something not many people know: I am a published author. See www.eolusbooks.com and have recently published my second memoir, K is for Kathmandu, about adopting my three children from Nepal. I am having a reading at the Boulder Book Store on Sunday, October 19th at 2 PM.

CYNTHIA T. KENNEDY
Kennedy Law Firm  A professional corporation
308 1/2 E. Simpson Street
Lafayette, CO 80026 (303) 604-1600
ctk@kennedylawyer.com

Larry Rider
Mediation/Arbitration
Over 40 years of assisting people to resolve disputes.

“A good listener helps us overhear ourselves”
Yahia Lababidi, author

My office or your office.
7373 Old Mill Trl. • Boulder, CO 80301 • 303.579.5433
lcritmediation@gmail.com • www.ridermediation.com

Rebuilding Seminars
www.RebuildingSeminars.com • Hear us on NPR

Life-Changing Programs to guide your clients through divorce
with
Norm Gibson, LCSW and Mary Harbison, MA
303.444.2072 x2 • facebook.com/RebuildingSeminars
Introduction
In the nineteenth century, women were generally denied admission to law schools and state bars. Belle Babb Mansfield was the first woman admitted to a state bar (Iowa) in 1869 after the Iowa courts ruled in her favor. Charlotte E. Ray was the first African-American woman admitted to the D.C. Bar in 1872. She did not encounter opposition because she applied for admission as C. E. Ray so the admissions committee thought she was a man.

On the other hand, Myra Bradwell filed an appeal in the U.S. Supreme Court challenging the denial of her admission to the Illinois state bar in 1872 even though she had passed the bar exam. She argued that the denial violated the Privileges and Immunities Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment: “No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States...” The U.S. Supreme Court rejected this argument based on its conclusion that the federal government should not interfere with state employment standards. Although the Court agreed that Mrs. Bradwell was a “citizen” under the Constitution, the Court was influenced by her status as a married woman. Justice Bradley concurred in the Court’s opinion:

It certainly cannot be affirmed, as an historical fact, that this has ever been established as one of the fundamental privileges and immunities of the sex. On the contrary, the civil law, as well as nature herself, has always recognized a wide difference in the respective spheres and destinies of man and woman... The natural and proper timidity and delicacy which belongs to the female sex evidently unfits it for many of the occupations of civil life. The constitution of the family organization, which is founded in the divine ordinance, as well as in the nature of things, indicates the domestic sphere as that which properly belongs to the domain and functions of womanhood. The harmony, not to say identity, of interest and views which belong, or should belong, to the family institution is repugnant to the idea of a woman adopting a distinct and independent career from that of her husband. Bradwell v. Illinois, 84 U.S. (16 Wall.) 130, 141 (1873).

Mary Florence Lathrop
Notwithstanding Bradwell, women continued to seek admission to state bars in the years that followed. Lawyers in Colorado should be proud that one of the first two women members of the ABA was Mary Lathrop of Denver who joined in 1918. She graduated from D.U. Law School in 1896, was the first woman lawyer to establish an office in Denver and the first woman to practice before the Colorado Supreme Court.

Law School
According to an ABA Report entitled “Women in the Law in the Year 2000,” law school enrollment has steadily increased from about 5% in 1951 to 10% in 1971 to almost 50% in 1999. Now, it is not uncommon for women to comprise more than half the student body at some law schools. Based on these statistics, one would think that women have achieved total equality in the legal profession. While it is undeniable that there has been significant improvement, it is fair to say that women in the profession in 2014 are not yet where they should be.

It is interesting to look at how has the law school experience has changed for women. I interviewed a number of women for this piece including two (continued on page 8)
successful women attorneys who attended elite Ivy League law schools in the late 1960's. Jane Bergner is a tax lawyer in Washington, D.C. She went to Columbia where, in a class of 300 students, only 9 or 10 were women. Jane said that the men studied together and the few women in the class were largely excluded even though they were generally better students. In fact, two of the top five students in her class were women. She said law school was very lonely and isolating for women.

Jean Dubofsky, the first woman to serve on the Colorado Supreme Court, graduated from Harvard Law School in 1967. She told me that her class of roughly 500 included only 2% women. She took a property law class from the professor who was the model for Professor Kingsfield in the 1973 movie, “The Paper Chase.” He would only call on women in the class on certain days each semester which he called “Ladies Day.” Jean said that the experience was demeaning to women so she sometimes decided to skip the class altogether. She also said that women who volunteered to speak in class would be “hissed” by male students if they were perceived as abrasive in any way. Many of the women law students picketed the Lincoln’s Inn Society, a social club that excluded women at that time.

By contrast, I did not encounter overt discrimination in law school in the late 1970’s. I attended the University of Wisconsin and graduated from the University of Colorado in 1979. At both law schools, my class was about 33% women so there was a strong network of women students. Study groups included both men and women and I am not aware of any professors who treated women differently than men. I am told by recent graduates of D.U. and C.U. that this was also true for them. The one exception is that some of these women students continue to be “hit on” by a small number of professors. That topic should probably be further explored in a separate article, however.

Employment of Women Lawyers

Although the number of women law students has steadily increased to about one-half, the percentage of women who are actively engaged in the legal profession is only about one-third of the total number of attorneys, according to a July, 2014 ABA report, “A Current Glance at Women in the Law.” (2014 Report). Although discriminatory hiring practices are not as prevalent as they once were, there remains a glass ceiling for women as reflected by the lower number of partnerships, judgeships and general corporate counsel positions filled by women lawyers. Also, women make an average of about 20% less money than their male counterparts. 2014 Report, p. 6. “The facts suggest that while they are growing in number world-wide, women lawyers continue to face occupational barriers and gender segregation.” Patton, Women Lawyers, Their Status, and Retention in the Legal Profession, 11 Wm. & Mary J. Women& L. 173 (2005).

Almost every woman lawyer I know has experienced some degree of gender discrimination. Jane Bergner told me that a prominent Rochester, N.Y. firm declined to hire her because she was single and the interviewer claimed she would not be able to have a very active social life in Rochester. But she was hired at the Justice Department and her boss later accommodated her part-time schedule when her children were young. She later went to work at Arnold and Porter where her most important mentor was Caroline Agger, the widow of Justice Abe Fortas, who began practicing law in the 1940’s. Jane, like many women I interviewed, mentioned being ignored in meetings with men. She said that often when
she provided a comment, none of the men would acknowledge it. But if a man later made the same comment, everyone would say that it was a great idea. All in all, Jane believes that she has had a very successful career even though she began practicing at a time when the deck was stacked against women lawyers.

Jean Dubofsky worked for Senator Walter Mondale after law school where she helped draft the 1968 Fair Housing Law. After that she went to Florida Rural Legal Services. When there was a funding cut at FRLS, it was suggested that the women lawyers not take a salary. Jean later went on to become the first woman and the youngest person to serve on the Colorado Supreme Court. Although her nomination by Governor Lamm was investigated by a group who suspected the nomination was “rigged,” Jean said that the other members of the Court were very supportive. Those who wish to know more about Jean and her remarkable career will be pleased to know that Susan Casey, a Denver politician and author, is writing a book about women lawyers which will feature Jean and others who paved the way for the rest of us.

I worked for a large 17th Street law firm after passing the bar in 1979. Like many women, I was often assumed to be staff rather than a lawyer. The first trial I had was in Grand County. On the first day of trial, the judge held a settlement meeting in chambers and my male colleague asked if I could join the meeting. The judge replied that I could attend if I wasn’t “a dog.” I was allowed to participate and I was appalled when I later learned about this “test.” My firm had only had two women associates out of 70 lawyers. Both of us were excluded from the firm golf tournament because the partners told us that their wives would be jealous. Although neither of us played golf, we seriously considered taking lessons and forcing our way into the tournament. Another example of questionable treatment of women occurred at a firm retreat in California where a senior partner had one of the

---

What year did the Boulder County Bar Association publish this?

The first correct answer wins 2 FREE CLE’s of your choice.

Any comments regarding this are appreciated and can be shared with others if desired.

Answers should go to christine@boulder-bar.org

(continued on page 11)
young female associates dress up as an Indian maiden and deliver T-shirts to everyone with a slogan that reflected the firms’ opposition to one of the tribes in a major case. Notwithstanding these incidents, I believe I was quite fortunate in having opportunities to work at good firms on many interesting, high-profile issues and having great mentors, most of whom were men who treated me with the utmost respect.

Conclusion
What keeps women from achieving total equality as lawyers? One of the major factors is that women are still more likely than men to deal with issues involving the balance of work and parenthood. A few of the women I interviewed were able to work reduced hours or have flexible schedules, including the ability to work from home while raising their children. I was able to work about 2/3 time after my children were born, an arrangement that continued for many years. I think this allowed me to be a more efficient and effective lawyer than I would have been as a full time attorney with young children. Also, in the 1980’s, I belonged to a subcommittee of the Denver Bar Association that was devoted to part-time practice and it was a very helpful to brainstorm with other women who managed to have successful part-time careers. The key to a successful part-time or flexible practice is to find a firm that is supportive of the concept of part-time work and willing to allow their employees (men and women) to focus on parenthood as well as their careers. I believe that only when we achieve more uniform success on that front will women lawyers achieve true equality in our profession.

One of my goals as president of the Boulder County Bar Association this year is to have BCBA focus on issues faced by local women lawyers. In connection with that mission, BCBA has scheduled a networking event for women lawyers at the Bitter Bar in Boulder on Wednesday, November 12. Watch the BCBA Newsletter for more details. I look forward to seeing a large group there, hopefully including all ages, to enjoy interesting cocktails and talk about the continuing challenges faced by women in the profession as well as the many benefits of practicing law.
Pro Bono Program Volunteers

Mary Louise Edwards
John Hoelle
Lauren Ivison
Tucker Katz
Craig Small
Michelle Stoll

BCAP Volunteers

No requests for pro bono referrals for the Boulder County AIDS Project in August

Pro Bono Corner

Interested in a Pro Bono case?
Please call Erika at 303-449-2197.
CLE credits available for pro bono service.

PROFESSIONALISM ON-CALL LIST

October 6      Mark Langston  303.440.9684
October 13     Meghan Pound   303.443.8010
October 20     Todd Stahly    303.797.2900
October 27     Karl Kumli     303.447.1375

Domestic relations mediation and arbitration in Boulder

Gwyneth Whalen
Former Boulder District Court Judge
gwhalen@celaw.com
www.celaw.com
303.443.8010

CAPLAN AND EARNEST LLC
Boulder Family Law Attorney seeking a temporary (4-6 months) family law paralegal/legal secretary. Litigation experience required. Send resume and salary requirements to cathy@catherinedukebenjamin.com.


LEGAL RESEARCH - experienced local attorney seeks legal research, possible overflow contract work. Resume and writing samples available upon request. Rates negotiable. Contact Erik S. Burns at 720-560-9685 or eriksburns@gmail.com.

Large Boulder office share for one attorney and one staff; rent includes shared receptionist, two conference rooms, kitchen, copier/scanner, basic supplies, stunning views, parking, CALL: 303-442-0165.

Large windowed office, opening to a deck facing the Justice Center at the Canyon Professional Building. Full services include receptionist, fax, parking, storage area, conference room and other amenities. Gross rent $825/month. 303-444-1700.

Two Sunny centrally located offices in Longmont available immediately. One office is 250 Ft./$500/mo. The second office is 168 Ft./$400/mo and has a private entrance. Both offices have use of common waiting room and kitchen. Free off-street parking on bus routes, and handicapped accessible. Rent includes utilities but not phone and internet. If interested, call 303.544.2124.

—

John and Amanda Sessa are consistently ranked in the top 1% of loan originators in the country

* They specialize in Conventional, Jumbo, FHA, VA and USDA financing

* They are experienced in helping families refinance their current home loans and investment properties

* They provide In-house underwriting, processing, and funding for superior service and timely closings

Helping families realize the dream of homeownership is our passion. Buying a home or refinancing your current home loan, is the single most important investment you can make. Although it can be intimidating, we are dedicated to making the process a positive and rewarding experience for you. We are honored by the opportunity to earn your business and look forward to serving you.

© 2014 SWBC. All rights reserved. 8540-5480 Loans subject to credit and property approval. Certain restrictions and conditions may apply. Programs and guidelines are subject to change. Rates change daily. SWBC Mortgage Corporation NMLS 9741, Corporate Office located at 9311 San Pedro Suite 100 San Antonio, TX 78216. Regulated by the Colorado Division of Real Estate. 8540-5480 2/14

Contact us today to explore your options with SWBC Mortgage.

John Sessa
Branch Manager
NMLS #257361
LMB #100018423

Amanda Sessa
Sr. Loan Officer
NMLS #257356
LMB #100018251

1470 Walnut St., Ste #100
Boulder, CO 80302
office: 303.545.9600 | fax: 877.906.6350
www.sessaloans.com
asessa@swbc.com

John & Amanda Sessa are longtime supporters of the Boulder County Bar Association
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