The internet has made large-scale building of goodwill and brand identity more accessible than ever, providing even those with minimal resources a worldwide platform to publicize their trademarks and service marks. Domain names provide an integral part of this functionality, serving as both a locator and a unique identifier of a website's provider. As such, a domain name that consists of a company's primary trademark or service mark can be among the most valuable intellectual property assets relating to a company's brand identity and goodwill.1

With the easy accessibility of domain names for legitimate purposes; however, comes the prospect of misuse or opportunistic behavior such as cybersquatting (i.e. registering, trafficking in, or using a domain name with bad faith intent to profit from the goodwill of a trademark belonging to someone else 2). When a client is faced with a domain name that may infringe upon its trademark or service mark, litigation under federal or state trademark or unfair competition causes of action is often the first avenue considered by counsel. In many cases; however, the expense of full-blown litigation may not represent a wise allocation of client resources. The time involved to pursue an infringement claim in the courts to resolution may also render such action impractical. Or perhaps the owner of the potentially infringing domain name cannot be easily located, or is domiciled in another country and may not be subject to jurisdiction in accessible courts.

The Uniform Domain-Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP), as developed by the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) and implemented by all generic top-level domain (gTLD) registrars, can represent a low-cost and swift administrative approach to combat cybersquatting. Especially when the primary objective of a client is to force a cybersquatter to relinquish control of an infringing domain name, an administrative proceeding under the UDRP is well worth consideration as a remedy of first resort.

1. What is the UDRP?
ICANN is the non-profit organization to whom the United States government has delegated authority for administration of all gTLDs (including .aero, .asia, .biz, .cat, .com, .coop, .info, .jobs, .mobi, .museum, .name, .net, .org, .pro, .tel and .travel).3 ICANN in turn delegates (continued on page 4)
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Each year since the Fourmile Fire, lawyers from Boulder and beyond have come together to plant trees on public and private lands in the area, which burned 6,181 acres and destroyed 169 homes. To date, we have planted nearly 5,000 saplings.

The effort was initiated in conjunction with the American Bar Association’s 1 Million Trees project and was spearheaded in Boulder by attorneys Maki Iatridis, Ann Rhodes, David Perlick, and a few lay volunteers. Due to the 2012 fires, Ann and Maki have now become involved in plantings statewide. Of the 750 saplings planted in Boulder last year, over 70% are still alive – much more than expected.

This year we put 1000 little trees in the rocky soil at the top of Sunshine Canyon with the help of about 50 volunteers ranging from teenagers to folks in their seventies, and including CU employees, solo practitioners, representatives of local businesses, members of large and medium sized firms, local church members, and law clerks from the district and appellate courts.

A big thank you goes out to Gabriella Stockmayer of Dietze & Davis for heading up this year’s steering committee. We also give thanks to Boulder County Parks and Open Space, including their Volunteer Coordinator, Shane Milne, who organized the volunteer effort, provided transportation, tools, and much guidance in our efforts.

Finally, we must thank our many sponsors whose donations allowed us to purchase the saplings and planting materials.
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Volunteers who helped plant trees this year are: front row: Jim Crannell, Dave Perlick, back row: Adam Davenport, Scott Brenner, Deborah Agee, Erin Adee, Katherine Erwin, Anna Johnson, Dulce Monteiro Mendes, Derek Fehr, Gabriella Stockmayer, David Pacheco.
DOMAIN NAME ARBITRATION (continued from page 1)

responsible for management of the reservation of individual domain names to organizations called registrars, popular examples of which include Go Daddy and Network Solutions.4 Since 2000, in order to receive ICANN accreditation, each registrar must agree to require its gTLD domain name registrants to submit to the UDRP with respect to complaints regarding trademark infringement in registered domain names.5 As such, the UDRP’s authority arises not from statute or regulation, but rather from the terms of the agreement between the registrar and its customers.

2. How Does a UDRP Arbitration Proceeding Work?

The UDRP is typically invoked when a trademark owner (the “Complainant”) learns of an allegedly infringing domain name registered to a third party. The Complainant uses a WHOIS service, such as the one operated by ICANN at www.internic.net/whois.html, to determine which registrar manages the offending domain name and to identify the registrant.6 Once this information is known, the Complainant files a complaint with an ICANN-approved administrative dispute resolution service provider (a “Provider”) to commence the UDRP administrative proceeding and seek transfer or cancellation of the offending domain name.7 At present, the four Providers authorized to administer UDRP proceedings are the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), the National Arbitration Forum, the Asian Domain Name Dispute Resolution Centre, and the Czech Arbitration Court Arbitration Center for Internet Disputes.8 Since UDRP jurisdiction is based upon voluntary agreement and proceedings are conducted remotely, the location of the Provider is essentially irrelevant and a Complainant can choose which Provider to utilize based on cost, reputation, or other factors.

A UDRP complaint filed with the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center, for example, currently requires a flat fee of $1,500 if the Complainant is willing to have the complaint resolved by a single panelist, while the fee to use a three-member panelist is $4,000 – in either case, a fraction of the cost of even simple litigation.9 The process is straightforward, consisting solely of two written documents in most cases: the complaint, and a response from the registrant of the accused domain name (due within twenty days after the registrant receives WIPO notification of the proceeding).10 After both parties have submitted their positions and relevant written evidence, the panelist(s) review the documents and issue a written decision – either to transfer the domain name registration to the Complainant, cancel the domain name registration, or dismiss the complaint – typically within two months after the complaint was originally filed.11
TIME WELL SPENT
My year as president of the Boulder County Bar Association has flown by. Coming into my term, I was not sure what to expect, but I must admit, it has been a lot of fun. It is easy to enjoy yourself when you get to interact with such great people. Reflecting on this past year as bar president, the one thing that stands out in my mind is the number of truly amazing individuals that we have in our bar.

What I enjoyed more than anything about being the bar president was the opportunity to attend many functions and events that I might not otherwise go to. As a result, I was able to interact with and meet a variety of attorneys who I probably would not have met during my usual course of business. From those who are just starting out, to those who are retiring after more than fifty years of practice, as well as lawyers from virtually every practice area of law. After this past year, I have a much better appreciation for the scope and depth of our organization.

There were two events that really made an impression on me and seemed to highlight the quality of practitioners we have in our community, the first being the pro bono luncheon. For those of you who do not know, the pro bono luncheon is an annual event that is co-hosted by Boulder County Legal Services (BCLS) and Colorado Legal Services. BCLS is a non-profit dedicated to helping provide legal assistance to those in our community who do not have the means or ability to obtain legal representation themselves. BCLS relies on attorneys and paralegals to volunteer and take pro bono cases in a variety of practice areas. The annual luncheon is held to celebrate and honor all of those who selflessly gave their time and energy to help others.

I was astonished to learn at the luncheon that members of our bar volunteered more than sixty-six hundred hours in pro-bono services this past year. Individual members gave anywhere from fifty hours to over four-hundred hours. In addition, it seemed that nearly every medium to large size firm has committed to having each attorney handle pro bono cases.

All of those who were honored at the luncheon were also amazing individuals, in addition to being great attorneys. I was privileged to introduce Helen Stone, the recipient of this year’s John Marshall award. Helen has been an outstanding member of our bar over the past thirty years and has been deeply dedicated to pro bono services during that time. Additionally, this year’s Boulder County Bar Association’s Young Lawyer of the Year, Brandy Rothman, was honored for her incredible service to pro bono work. Brandy and (continued on page 9)
Pro Bono Referrals
Nine cases were referred during April. Thank you to the following attorneys:

Joyce Bergmann
Evan Branigan
Peter Enichen
Judson Hite
Peter Jarldane
Roseann Murray
Curt Rautenstraus
Jeffrey Skovron
Craig Small
Thank you to those BCLS volunteer lawyers who were honored at the annual pro bono lunch.

50 - 75 Pro Bono Hours
Joyce Bergmann, Howard Bernstein
Robert Cooper, Christina Ebner
Mary Louise Edwards, Elizabeth Meyer
Drew Richman, Jeffrey Skovron, Craig Small

75 - 100 Pro Bono Hours
Eve Canfield, Christopher Jeffers
Judson Hite, Tucker Katz
Mary Street, Leonard Tanis, Bruce Warren

100 - 200 Pro Bono Hours
Susan Bryant, Peggy Goodbody, Stuart Ollanik
Norton Steuben, Bruce Wiener

200-300 Pro Bono Hours
Michael Miner, Bethany Pray, Meg Quiat

400 Pro Bono Hours and Above
Brandy Rothman

100% Firm Participation in Pro Bono
Cooper Tanis & Cohen, Dale E. Johnson
Ebner & Gent, Goulart & Associates
Hutchinson Black & Cook, Rautenstrauss & Joss
Robinson Tweedy, Samson & Vidergar
Stone Rosen & Fuller, Vincent Romeo & Rodriguez
Warren Carlson & Moore

John H. Barrett
announces the opening of his new law practice.

John H. Barrett
Attorney at Law
1526 Spruce St. Suite 206
Boulder Co. 80302
303-928-2300
johnbarrettlaw@gmail.com

38 years experience in the general practice of law; including domestic relations, civil litigation, small business, real estate and personal injury.
A UDRP complaint must allege three basic elements in order to establish a prima facie case for transfer or cancellation of a domain name: (i) the domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights; (ii) the registrant has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the domain name; and (iii) the domain name was registered and is being used in bad faith. The UDRP and associated Rules provide guidance for demonstrating and rebutting each element; for example, the UDRP provides that bad faith may be shown with proof that the domain name was registered primarily for the purpose of transferring the domain name registration to the owner of the trademark for consideration in excess of out-of-pocket costs associated with the domain name. In addition, although no formal system of precedent binds UDRP panels, a wealth of published panel decisions is available to help prepare persuasive arguments based on similar facts presented in past complaints. WIPO has even compiled a useful overview which compiles and cites panel decisions with respect to common fact patterns that arise in connection with each of the UDRP Policy’s required elements.

In the event that the Complainant has proven the three UDRP elements to the satisfaction of the panel, the decision will direct the relevant Provider to transfer the domain name to the Complainant (or, in unusual cases, cancel the domain name); if not, the complaint will be dismissed. Notably, the panel cannot award monetary damages, injunctions, or any relief other than transfer or cancellation of the offending domain name.

3. How Does the UDRP Interact With Court Action?

The UDRP does not prevent a trademark owner or registrant from filing suit in a court of competent jurisdiction, either before, during or after a UDRP proceeding has been initiated. In fact, one requirement for initiating a UDRP complaint is that the Complainant must agree to submit to jurisdiction in either the location of the principal office of the applicable registrar (provided that the registrant has also, by virtue of its registration agreement, submitted to such jurisdiction as well) or the location of the registrant as reflected in the registrar’s WHOIS database, in order to ensure that there is a suitable jurisdiction available for judicial resolution of disputes not settled by the UDRP proceeding. Once a decision directing transfer of a domain name has been issued and the parties notified, the applicable registrar is directed by the UDRP to wait ten business days before implementing the decision in order to give the registrant time to file a new complaint in court and seek reconsideration of the issues underlying the decision. Courts typically review the issues previously resolved in a UDRP proceeding de novo and do not assign precedential or persuasive authority to UDRP panel decisions. However, relatively few cases decided under the UDRP are subsequently challenged in court, making it the end of the matter in many cases.

4. Conclusion

The UDRP represents an important and increasingly popular tool for attorneys representing trademark and service mark owners. While not a replacement for more formal judicial action, it can represent a valuable initial step when a client wishes to “test the waters” to see if an infringement claim based on a domain name will stick, or when the primary goal of the client is simply to stop the registrant from using an infringing domain name rather than
Helen are just two examples of the talented and generous group of professionals we have in our local bar association.

The other memorable event that I attended this year was the Past President’s Dinner. Most of you are probably unaware that our bar hosts a dinner every year for the past presidents of the Boulder County Bar Association. The bar decided it was too expensive to give us each our own library, so it puts on the dinner instead. The dinner is hosted by the current president, which this year was me.

There is no agenda or business that takes place at the dinner, it is purely a social function. I knew a fair number of faces at the dinner, many who I was surprised to see, not knowing they had served as the bar president.

The majority of the individuals in attendance have been practicing law for more than twenty years, and some, many more. The collective knowledge that was present was amazing. While listening to the old war stories being shared, I realized that many of individuals in the room helped shape much of the law that is argued and litigated today.

The conversations that night made it evident that these individuals were not only great lawyers, but exceptional people. They are caring, hard-working, ethical, humble, and kind. Nearly everyone present made a point to congratulate me on my term as president and inquire as to how I was doing. The dinner was an unexpectedly enjoyable and educational experience and I am very much looking forward to next year’s.

Being a lawyer, you cannot help but to be aware of the less than stellar reputation of our profession. However, after this past year, it is difficult for me to reconcile our profession’s reputation with the caliber of attorneys we have practicing in our community.

When talking about the quality of people in our organization, I would be remiss if I did not mention the other board members and staff at the Bar. I have been very fortunate to work with a terrific board. We are a very diverse group of lawyers who work well together and have a lot of fun doing so. However, there is no one who I am more grateful to than our executive director, Christine Hylbert. Christine does a phenomenal job of making sure our organization runs smoothly while performing an impressive number of duties and always with a smile. Additionally, Sarah Flinn started this past November as Christine’s executive assistant and has made an extremely positive addition to our bar staff.

The Boulder County Bar Association is a great organization that has been serving our profession and community for over one hundred years. Getting involved with the bar is a great way to grow your practice, become a better lawyer, and to give a little back to others. If you have not been actively involved in the bar association, I encourage you to consider increasing your participation. There are many ways to get involved. The bar is currently looking for individuals who are interested in co-chairing any one of our eighteen sections for next year. In addition, we are always in need of individuals to put on CLE’s, be a mentor, or volunteer at any of our functions. If you would like to get more involved, please contact our offices.

I have gained so much during my time on the board and as president. I believe I have grown not only professionally but also personally. The bar association has been an integral part of my career thus far, and I am grateful for all the opportunities and experience it has provided me. It has been an enjoyable privilege to serve.
seeking damages.

Justin C. Konrad is a partner at Hutchinson Black and Cook, LLC. Justin’s practice includes representation of individuals, emerging companies and established entities in the areas of business and corporate law, entertainment law, and intellectual property.

1. For example, the domain name icloud.com reportedly sold from an online storage firm to Apple, Inc. in 2011 for $4.5 million. http://news.cnet.com/8301-13579_3-20068165-37.html (last visited May 9, 2013).
4. See http://www.icann.org/registrar-reports/accredited-list.html (last visited May 9, 2013).
6. A “WHOIS” interface (pronounced “who is”) is a simple method for querying databases that store information about the registered owners of domain names and other internet resources. Based only on the domain name, the WHOIS interface can reveal the registrar, creation date, most recent update to registration, and other information.
10. Under the UDRP and Rules, the panel does have authority to request additional information from the parties or even to require an in-person hearing, but a survey of reported panel decisions indicates that invocation of such authority is extremely rare.
11. See Rules at §15(b).
12. See Policy at §4(a).
13. See Policy at §4(b).
16. See Policy at §4(i).
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39. See Policy at §4(k).
40. See Policy at §§4(k) and Rules at §§1 and 3(b)(xiii).
41. See Policy at §4(k).
42. See 4 McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition § 25:74.75 (4th ed).
43. See Policy at §4(k).
44. See Policy at §§4(k) and Rules at §§1 and 3(b)(xiii).
45. See Policy at §4(k).
46. See 4 McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition § 25:74.75 (4th ed).
47. See Policy at §4(k).
48. See Policy at §§4(k) and Rules at §§1 and 3(b)(xiii).
49. See Policy at §4(k).
50. See 4 McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition § 25:74.75 (4th ed).
51. See Policy at §4(k).
52. See Policy at §§4(k) and Rules at §§1 and 3(b)(xiii).
53. See Policy at §4(k).
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Established Boulder law firm has office available immediately for month-to-month lease to attorney or professional person. Furnished office with beautiful views of foothills, $1,200.00/monthly includes; receptionist services, parking, multi-line phone system with voicemail, elevators, routine cleaning services. Please contact Cindy for more details, 303-440-7500.


Filing Cabinet, four drawer, four foot wide, HON Model 794 LP for sale. Set up for hanging files. These are more than $600 new, yours for $300. Call Jeff 303-442-2599.

PREMIER WEST PEARL ST. OFFICE SUITE AVAILABLE FOR LEASE IN JUNE. 1100 Sq. ft.; 2 parking spaces included, more available for lease. 728 Pearl St. Could be turn key offices for small firm. Contact Bart Balis 303-443-6924 or bsb@balisandbarrett.com

853ft² - Wonderful Multi-Suite Office, Downtown Boulder (Broadway/Pearl) Great office in Downtown Boulders Premier Executive Suites Building. Suite 222 at 1942 Broadway, Boulder. Broadway Suites. Large “bull pen” area, reception area and two private offices off of main area. Quiet floor in busy building. Arched windows and doors make this office beautiful and unique. Rent rate as low as $1,700.00 FSG per month! 50% off first two months if move in June 1. Please see www.bsuites.com for floor-plans and detailed information. Call or email Candice today! 303-938-6831. candice@bsuites.com

LEGAL RESEARCH - experienced local attorney seeks legal research, possible overflow contract work. Resume and writing samples available upon request. Rates negotiable. Contact Erik S. Burns at 720-560-9685 or eriksburns@gmail.com.

CLIENTS WITH STUDENT LOAN PROBLEMS? THEY ARE UNEMPLOYED, UNDER-EMPLOYED, overwhelmed, skipping loan payments, defaulting on the loans, on a first name basis with collection agencies, or being sued on their loans? Point them to www.attorneytriggs.com for helpful resources and solutions. Doug Triggs, 303-499-1336. www.ColoradoStudentLoanAttorney.com

One to three professional offices in beautiful office suite in the heart of downtown Boulder. Includes reception area, conference room, kitchen, bike storage. Garage parking passes available for Spruce Street garage.
Email: jjohnson@obrienbraun.com for information

Solo practitioner is looking for a partner(s) to purchase an office space in Boulder, share overhead. Call 720-201-7675.

Experienced Billing Clerk/ Paralegal Services/Bookkeeper & Mobile Notary. Are you a small firm or solo practitioner in need of a monthly billing administrator? If so, I can manage your clients professional fee invoicing, A/R, A/P for your firm. All work done on a contractual basis. Sally Carroll 720-353-1447.
sallyvp@yahoo.com

OFFICE SPACE AVAILABLE: Single office in shared suite suitable for solo practitioner. Office approximately 220 sq. ft., with large built-in oak credenza, bookshelves and file drawers. 30th and Arapahoe, ample free parking, 4th floor with open air balcony and Flatirons view. Includes use of conference room. Other services available, including high-capacity scanner/copier. Rent negotiable, starting at $700 depending on services provided. Contact Brian at 303-449-5643 for more information.

PERSONAL INJURY PARALEGAL - BOULDER Small, established, highly rated Boulder personal injury law firm seeking exceptional legal assistant/paralegal. This position will be available in late July and requires personal injury experience, excellent Microsoft Word, Excel and writing skills. The position is available in June or July. Please submit resume, cover letter and salary requirements to books@sloatlaw.com.

TWO Furnished Class-A OFFICES FOR RENT in Existing Law Office. Includes internet, copier, reception area, 2 conf. rooms, free parking. Rent one or both. Water Street, 2595 Canyon Blvd. Call Mike 303-926-0410.

Seeking 3-4 person law firm to join Gus Redmond Michaels, P.C. and Law Offices of Randall M. Weiner, P.C. in an office suite on the fourth floor of the Park Place building at 30th and Arapahoe. We have a total of 12 offices, large conference room, litigation room, copy center, kitchen, reception room and Flatiron views. Lease to commence between now and November. Single offices may also be available. Contact Rhianna Gray 303-440-3321.

Established AV firm in Boulder seeks dedicated associate attorney with 1-3 years of experience who brings excellent written and verbal skills, organization, and a strong work ethic to the practice of civil litigation, domestic, and estate planning.

The ideal candidate has experience in negotiations, trials, client counseling and the ability to work in a diverse and dynamic practice. Salary is commensurate with experience with a 401k Profit and Pension Plan, and dental and health insurance available.
Please send cover letter, resume, references, and writing sample to: Boulder County Bar Association, BOX 10 1942 Broadway, Suite 205, Boulder, CO 80302

All responses will be kept confidential.
BOULDER COUNTY
2013 SENIOR LAW DAY
AUGUST 10, 2013

PLAZA CONFERENCE CENTER IN LONGMONT

FREE TO SENIORS, FAMILIES, AND CAREGIVERS
Reservations: WWW.SENIORLAWDAY.ORG

Topics to be presented:
Rights and Responsibility of Caregivers, Tax Consideration for Seniors
End-of-Life Paperwork • Protecting Yourself
Estate Planning Beyond Basics
Nutrition and Aging • Medicaid and Medicare